

Global solutions of a radiative and reactive gas with self-gravitation for higher-order kinetics

Xulong Qin * Zheng-an Yao

*Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University,
Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China*

Abstract

The existence of global solutions is established for compressible Navier-Stokes equations by taking into account the radiative and reactive processes, when the heat conductivity κ ($\kappa_1(1 + \theta^q) \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_2(1 + \theta^q), q \geq 0$), where θ is temperature. This improves the previous results by enlarging the scope of q including the constant heat conductivity.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study a free boundary problem of viscous, compressible, radiative and reactive gases which are driven by self-gravitation. The system considered can be described by the conservation of mass, the conservation of momentum, the balance of energy and the reaction-diffusion equations

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_y = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2)_y = (-p + \mu u_y)_y + \rho f, \\ \rho(e_t + u e_y) = (\kappa \theta_y)_y + (-p + \mu u_y)u_y + \lambda \rho \phi z^m, \\ \rho(z_t + u z_y) = (d \rho z_y)_y - \rho \phi z^m, \quad a(t) < y < b(t), \quad t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*Corresponding author

E-mail: qin_xulong@163.com.

Tel: +86-20-8411-0126, Fax: +86-20-84037978.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q30; 35R30; 35R76; 35N10.

Key Words: Radiative and Reactive gases; Free Boundary Problem; Self-Gravitation; Global existence

where $\rho = \rho(y, t)$, $u = u(y, t)$, $z = z(y, t)$ denote the density, velocity, mass fraction of reactant of flows, respectively. In addition, the positive constants μ , d and λ are the coefficients of the viscosity, species diffusion and difference in heat between the reactant and the product. $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are free boundaries defined by

$$a'(t) = u(a(t), t), \quad b'(t) = u(b(t), t).$$

$f = f(y, t)$ is the external force determined by $f = -U_y$ where U solves the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} U_{yy} = G\rho, & a(t) < y < b(t), \\ U(d, t) = 0, & d = a(t), \quad b(t), \end{cases}$$

where G is the Newtonian gravitational constant.

Moreover, the reactant rate function $\phi = \phi(\rho, \theta)$ is given by the Arrhenius-type law

$$\phi(\rho, \theta) = K\rho^{m-1}\theta^\beta \exp\left(-\frac{A}{\theta}\right), \quad (1.2)$$

where $m \geq 1$, β is a non-negative constant, while K and A are positive constants.

Finally, the pressure p and the internal energy e can be formulated as follows:

$$p = R\rho\theta + \frac{a}{3}\theta^4, \quad e = C_v\theta + a\frac{\theta^4}{\rho}. \quad (1.3)$$

where the positive C_v is the specific heat at constant volume, $a > 0$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and R is the perfect gas one. From the experiments results for gases at very high temperature, refer to [26], the heat conductivity is

$$\kappa_1(1 + \theta^q) \leq \kappa(\rho, \theta) \leq \kappa_2(1 + \theta^q), \quad q \geq 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where κ_1 and κ_2 are positive constant.

The system (1.1) is to be completed with initial-boundary conditions. More precisely, we suppose here that

$$(\rho, u, \theta, z)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0(y), u_0(y), \theta_0(y), z_0(y)), \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$(-p + \mu u_y, k\theta_y, d\rho z_y)(d, t) = (-p_e, 0, 0), \quad d = a(t), b(t). \quad (1.6)$$

The same initial-boundary problem is proposed by Umehara and Tani in [22, 23]. We aim at extending their results by enlarging the scope of q and β .

The system (1.1) has been extensively studied from the wellposedness of global solutions to asymptotic behavior of solutions under various initial-boundary conditions since the radiation is an important factor in astrophysics. Among them, Documet [12] considered the combined radiative and reactive case only for $R = 0$ and then established the existence of a global-in-time solution for the Dirichlet boundary problem with one order kinetics in [13] when $q \geq 4$. In another paper [15], Ducomet and Zlotnik treated the case of higher order kinetics for fairly general kinetics law when $q \geq 2$. In addition, Ducomet and Zlotnik [16] investigated the global-in-time bounds and exponential stabilization for solutions in L^q and H^1 norms by constructing new global Lyapunov functions when $q \geq 1$. Moreover, Umehara and Tani [22] showed the global existence of solutions for a self-gravitating, viscous, radiative and reactive gas in the case of the external pressure $p_e > 0$ on the free boundaries when $4 \leq q \leq 16$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{13}{2}$ and extended that result to $q \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \beta < q + 9$ in [23]. Qin-Hu-Wang [20] filled the gap with $2 \leq q < 3$ and $0 \leq \beta < 2q + 6$. There are also various excellent works on the multi-dimensional radiation hydrodynamic equations in this direction, see [10, 11, 21] and references cited therein.

However, those results are far from satisfactory. For example, the constant heat conductivity, which is reasonable in physics, is excluded due to the restriction of mathematical techniques. Our aim, in this paper, is to show global existence of a radiative and reactive gas for a free boundary problem including constant heat-conductivity ($q = 0$ in (1.4)). We remark that similar problems for perfect or real fluid flows have been extensively studied in [1–8, 17–19, 24] and references cited therein by many researchers. However, those methods adopted in the literature is not valid for the case of radiative flows, which arise extra difficulties in mathematical analysis. More precisely, besides the possible concentration of mass and heat, the radiation equation and (ρ, θ) dependence of heat conductivity make it complicated.

More recently, Zhang-Zhang [25] established global existence result for the system (1.1) to Dirichlet boundary problem with respect to velocity when $q = 0$ and $\beta \in [0, 8]$. However, it leaves a gap for the free boundary boundary problem suggested in [22, 23]. We are intend to make the gap

in this paper. The novelty of this paper is to provide a new insight into solving radiative and reactive flows. Following the framework of [22] in the context, the main obstacle of a priori estimate is for $v_x \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2[0, 1])$, see Lemma 3.8, which in turn is coupled with the integrability of temperature, and the "regularity" of heat conductivity. To overcome the above difficulties, we introduce the auxiliary function $G(v, \theta)$, refer to Lemma 3.6 as in [18, 19] and succeed in getting $\theta \in L^r(0, T; L^\infty[0, 1])$, $0 < r < q + 5, q \geq 0$. Then, using the standard L^p estimation of parabolic equation, we further get $\theta \in L^{4n-4}[0, 1]$ when $q \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < q + 9$. With those essential a priori estimates, we can deduce a priori estimates of higher derivatives of (v, θ, u, z) . This methods not only adapt to the Dirichlet problem in [25] but also may be shed light on the global existence of various radiative models, which is our further study.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we introduce the Lagrangian mass coordinates to transform the free boundary problem (1.1), (1.5)–(1.6) into the fixed boundary problem(2.1)–(2.3), which are equivalent each other. In section 3, we deduce some a priori estimates for state parameters (ρ, u, θ, z) , for which it is necessary to guarantee the global existence of solutions by extending the local solutions.

2 Main Result

In order to study a free boundary problem conveniently, we introduce the Lagrangian masss coordinates transformation. Let

$$x = \int_{a(t)}^y \rho(\xi, t) d\xi, \quad t = t.$$

Then, the free boundaries $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ become $x = 0$ and $x = \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \rho(\xi, t) d\xi = M$, which denotes the total mass of fluid, respectively. By the conservation law of mass, we may assume $M = \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \rho(\xi, t) d\xi = \int_{a(0)}^{b(0)} \rho(\xi, 0) d\xi = 1$, i.e., $0 \leq x \leq 1$. So the system (1.1) becomes in Lagrangian mass coordinates

$$v_t = u_x, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$u_t = \left(-p + \frac{\mu u_x}{v}\right)_x - G\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad (2.1b)$$

$$e_t = \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_x\right)_x + \left(-p + \frac{\mu u_x}{v}\right)u_x + \lambda\phi z^m, \quad (2.1c)$$

$$z_t = \left(\frac{d}{v^2}z_x\right)_x - \phi z^m, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.1d)$$

The corresponding boundary conditions are

$$\left(-p + \frac{\mu u_x}{v}, \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_x, \frac{d}{v^2}z_x\right) \Big|_{x=0,1} = (-p_e, 0, 0), \quad (2.2)$$

and the initial conditions are

$$(v, u, \theta, z)|_{t=0} = (v_0(x), u_0(x), \theta_0(x), z_0(x)), \quad x \in [0, 1]. \quad (2.3)$$

where $v = 1/\rho$ is the specific volume and $u - \int_0^1 u dx$ is instead of u . We remark that the external force f is more realistic than that in [14] by Ducomet.

The main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (1.2)–(1.4) hold, and suppose that $(q, \beta) \in [0, +\infty) \times [0, q+9)$, $p_e > 0$. Moreover, the heat-conductivity κ in (1.4) satisfies*

$$|\kappa_\rho(\rho, \theta)| \leq \kappa_2(1 + \theta)^q, \quad |\kappa_\theta(\rho, \theta)| \leq \kappa_2(1 + \theta)^{q-1}.$$

Assume that

$$(v_0(x), u_0(x), \theta_0(x), z_0(x)) \in C^{1+\alpha}([0, 1]) \times (C^{2+\alpha}([0, 1]))^3,$$

and

$$v_0(x), \quad \theta_0(x) > 0, \quad 0 \leq z_0(x) \leq 1, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists a unique solution (v, u, θ, z) of the initial boundary problem (2.1)–(2.3) for any fixed $T > 0$, such that

$$(v, v_x, v_t) \in (C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(Q_T))^3, \quad (u, \theta, z) \in (C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(Q_T))^3,$$

and

$$v(x, t), \quad \theta(x, t) > 0, \quad 0 \leq z(x, t) \leq 1, \quad x \in \overline{Q}_T,$$

where $Q_T = (0, 1) \times (0, T)$.

Here, as usual, $C^{m+\alpha}[0, 1]$ denotes the Hölder space on $[0, 1]$ with exponents $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(Q_T)$ the Hölder space on $Q_T = [0, 1] \times [0, T]$ with exponent α in x and $\alpha/2$ in t with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Similarly, if a function $f(x, t) \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then it means $(u_{xx}, u_t) \in C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(Q_T)$.

Remark 2.1. The heat conductivity in (1.4) covers the case of [20, 22, 23] by $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \frac{\theta^q}{\rho}$.

Remark 2.2. The external force $p_e > 0$ is not essential. The result are also satisfactory for $p_e \in \mathbb{R}$ provided that we get $v \in L^1[0, 1]$, see Appendix below.

The existence and unique proof of Theorem 2.1 is motivated by the procedure devised in [9] through the application of the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem, see also [9, 18]. Therefore, a priori estimates of the local solution (ρ, u, θ, z) and its derivatives are necessary.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section, we establish some a priori estimates for the state parameters (ρ, u, θ, z) so as to get the global existence of solutions to the problem (2.1)–(2.3). In the sequel, the generic positive constant $C(C(T))$ may be different from line to line. In addition, we denote $|u|^{(0)} := \sup_{(x,t) \in \overline{Q}_T} |u(x, t)|$ and $\beta^+ = \max\{\beta, 0\}$.

The following a priori estimates are basic and useful. Please refer to [22] for detailed proofs.

Lemma 3.1. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, it satisfies that

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{u^2}{2} + e + \lambda z + \frac{Gx(1-x)v}{2} \right) dx + p_e \int_0^1 v dx \leq C, \quad (3.1)$$

$$U(t) + \int_0^t V(s) ds \leq C(T),$$

and

$$0 \leq z(x, t) \leq 1, \\ \int_0^1 \frac{z^2}{2} dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{d}{v^2} z_x^2 dx ds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \phi z^{m+1} dx ds = \int_0^1 \frac{z_0^2(x)}{2} dx,$$

where

$$U(t) = \int_0^1 [C_v(\theta - 1 - \log \theta) + R(v - 1 - \log v)] dx,$$

and

$$V(t) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\mu u_x^2}{v\theta} + \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{v\theta^2} + \frac{\lambda \phi z^m}{\theta} \right) dx,$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

In the sequence, it gives the upper bound of density.

Lemma 3.2. *There holds that*

$$C_1(T) \leq v(x, t) \iff \rho(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{C_1(T)}, \quad (3.2)$$

for $0 < x < 1$ and $0 < t < T$.

Proof. Integrating (2.1b) over $[0, x] \times [0, t]$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^x (u - u_0) d\xi = \log v - \log v_0 - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t p d\tau + \frac{Gx(1-x)t}{2\mu} + \frac{p_e}{\mu} t,$$

that is

$$\log v = \log v_0 + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t p d\tau - \frac{Gx(1-x)t}{2\mu} + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^x (u - u_0) d\xi - \frac{p_e}{\mu} t. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus, we find by (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \log v &\geq \log v_0 + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^x (u - u_0) d\xi - \frac{Gx(1-x)t}{2\mu} - \frac{p_e}{\mu} t \\ &\geq \log v_0 - C \int_0^1 u^2 dx - \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{G}{8} + p_e \right) t - C \\ &\geq \log v_0 - Ct - C, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to (3.2). □

The following auxiliary result stems from the idea of [22].

Lemma 3.3. *One has*

$$\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \theta^r(x, s) ds \leq C(T),$$

where $0 \leq r \leq q + 4$ and $q \geq 0$.

Proof. It is known from the mean value theorem

$$\theta(x(t), t) = \int_0^1 \theta dx,$$

where $x(t) \in [0, 1]$ for every $t \in [0, T]$. Furthermore, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x, t)^{\frac{r}{2}} &= \left(\int_0^1 \theta dx \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} + \frac{r}{2} \int_{x(t)}^x \theta(\xi, t)^{\frac{r}{2}-1} \theta_\xi(\xi, t) d\xi \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}} |\theta_x|}{v^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta} \cdot \frac{v^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta^{\frac{r}{2}}}{\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}} dx \right) \\ &\leq C \left[1 + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{v \theta^r}{\kappa} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} V(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq C \left[1 + \left(\int_0^1 (v + v \theta^4) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} V(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

due to $0 \leq r \leq q+4$ and Young inequality. Thus it is complete by integrating (3.4) with respect to time. $\square$

Similarly, we obtain the lower bound of density, which is very important to obtain the existence of global solutions.

Lemma 3.4. *If $q \geq 0$, then it holds that*

$$v(x, t) \leq C(T) \iff \rho(x, t) \geq 1/C(T),$$

for $(x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T)$.

Proof. It follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\log v &= \log v_0 + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t p d\tau - \frac{Gx(1-x)t}{2\mu} + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^x (u - u_0) d\xi - \frac{p_e}{\mu} t \\
&\leq \log v_0 + C \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} (\theta + \theta^4) ds \\
&\quad + C \int_0^1 u^2 dx + C \int_0^1 u_0^2 dx + C(T) \\
&\leq \log v_0 + C(T),
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. Thus it is complete. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Similarly, we have when $q \geq 0$*

$$\int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx ds \leq C(T). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. The equality (2.1b) implies by integrating from $(0, 1) \times (0, t)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \left(\frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{Gx(1-x)v}{2} \right) dx + \mu \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{Gx(1-x)v}{2} \right) (x, 0) dx + p_e \int_0^1 v_0(x) dx \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p u_x dx ds - p_e \int_0^t \int_0^1 v dx ds \\
&\leq C(T) + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx ds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p^2 dx ds,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies for fixed small ε that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx ds &\leq C(T) \left(1 + \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \theta^4 \left(\int_0^1 \theta^4 dx \right) ds \right) \\
&\leq C(T).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $q \geq 0$, there holds*

$$\int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{\theta^{1+\varepsilon}} dx ds \leq C. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Let $G(v, \theta) = \int_0^\theta \xi^{-\varepsilon} e_\xi(v, \xi) d\xi$. Then

$$G_t + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_0^\theta \xi^{-\varepsilon} p_\xi d\xi \cdot u_x = \frac{\mu u_x^2}{v \theta^\varepsilon} + \left(\frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x \right)_x \cdot \theta^{-\varepsilon} + \lambda \phi z^m \theta^{-\varepsilon}$$

Integrating it over $[0, 1] \times [0, t]$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{v \theta^{1+\varepsilon}} dx ds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\mu u_x^2}{v \theta^\varepsilon} + \frac{\lambda \phi z^m}{\theta^\varepsilon} \right) dx ds \\ &= \int_0^1 G dx - \int_0^1 G_0 dx + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_0^t \int_0^1 \int_0^\theta \xi^{-\varepsilon} p_\xi d\xi \cdot u_x dx ds \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^t \int_0^1 \theta^8 dx ds + C \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx ds \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

□

With the proceeding a priori estimates at hand, we can firstly improve the integrability of temperature and deduce that

$$\theta \in L^r(0, T; L^\infty[0, 1]), \quad 0 < r < q + 5, \quad q \geq 0, \quad (3.7)$$

when the function $\tilde{V}(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{\theta^{1+\varepsilon}} dx$ is instead of $V(t)$ in the proof of Lemma 3.3. Furthermore, we can make a priori estimates of higher integrability of θ .

Lemma 3.7. *If $0 \leq \beta < q + 9$ and $q \geq 0$, then it has*

$$\int_0^1 \theta^{4n-4} dx + \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+4n-4} ds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 (|u_x|^n + \kappa \theta^{4n-9} \theta_x^2) dx ds \leq C_n, \quad (3.8)$$

for sufficiently large $n > 2$.

Proof. Multiplying θ^{4n-8} on (2.1c), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \theta^{4n-4} dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta^{4n-9} \theta_x^2}{v} dx ds \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^t \int_0^1 (\theta^{4n-8} u_x^2 + \theta^{4n-4} |u_x| + \theta^{\beta+4n-8}) dx ds \\ &\leq C + C_n \int_0^t \int_0^1 |u_x|^n dx ds + C_n \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} (\theta^4 + \theta^{(\beta-4)_+}) \int_0^1 \theta^{4n-4} dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

On the other hand, we can rewrite (2.1b) with the initial boundary data

$$\begin{cases} w_t = \frac{\mu}{v} w_{xx} - p + \frac{Gx(1-x)}{2} + p_e, \\ w|_{t=0} = w_0(x), \\ w|_{x=0,1} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $w = \int_0^x u d\xi$. The standard L^p estimates of solutions to linear parabolic problem give rise to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_0^1 |u_x|^n dx ds &= \int_0^t \int_0^1 |w_{xx}|^n dx ds \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p^n dx ds \right) \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \theta^{4n} dx ds \right) \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \theta^4 \int_0^1 \theta^{4n-4} dx ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.9) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \theta^{4n-4} dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \kappa \theta^{4n-9} \theta_x^2 dx ds \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} (\theta^4 + \theta^{(\beta-4)_+}) \int_0^1 \theta^{4n-4} dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\theta \in L^{4n-4}[0, 1]$ by Grönwall's inequality which in turn, it gives $u_x \in L^n((0, 1) \times (0, t))$ according to (3) and in the same manner, it implies that $\theta \in L^{q+4n-4}(0, T; L^\infty[0, 1])$. Thus, it finishes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *If $0 \leq \beta < q + 9$ and $q \geq 0$, we arrive at*

$$\int_0^1 v_x^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \theta v_x^2 dx ds \leq C,$$

Proof. Multiplying (2.1b) by $u - \frac{\mu}{v} v_x$ and integrating it over $(0, 1)$, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{\mu}{v} v_x \right)^2 dx + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\mu R \theta}{v^3} \right) v_x^2 dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{R \theta u v_x}{v^2} dx - \int_0^1 \left[\left(\frac{R}{v} + \frac{4a}{3} \theta^3 \right) \theta_x + G \left(x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \left(u - \frac{\mu}{v} v_x \right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

On one hand, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \frac{R\theta uv_x}{v^2} dx \\
& \leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 \theta v_x^2 dx + C_\varepsilon \max_{[0,1]} \theta \cdot \int_0^1 u^2 dx \\
& \leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 \theta v_x^2 dx + C_\varepsilon \max_{[0,1]} \theta(x, t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^1 \left[\left(\frac{R}{v} + \frac{4a}{3} \theta^3 \right) \theta_x + G \left(x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \left(u - \frac{\mu}{v} v_x \right) dx \right| \\
& \leq C \left[1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\theta_x^2}{\theta^2} dx + \int_0^1 \theta^6 \theta_x^2 dx + \int_0^1 (1 + \theta^2) \left(u - \frac{\mu}{v} v_x \right)^2 dx \right] \\
& \leq C \left[1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} dx + \int_0^1 \kappa \theta^{4n-9} \theta_x^2 dx + \int_0^1 (1 + \theta^2) (u^2 + v_x^2) dx \right] \\
& \leq C \left[1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} dx + \int_0^1 \kappa \theta^{4n-9} \theta_x^2 dx + \left(1 + \max_{[0,1]} \theta^2 \right) \cdot \left(1 + \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.10) and (3.11) for any fixed small ε leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \theta v_x^2 dx ds \\
& \leq C + C \int_0^t \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} dx + \int_0^1 \kappa \theta^{4n-9} \theta_x^2 dx + \max_{[0,1]} \theta^2(x, s) \right) ds \\
& + C \int_0^t \left(1 + \max_{[0,1]} \theta^2 \right) \left(\int_0^1 v_x^2 dx \right) ds \\
& \leq C + C \int_0^t \left(1 + \max_{[0,1]} \theta^2 \right) \left(\int_0^1 v_x^2 dx \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the lemma is completed by Grönwall's inequality. $\square$

We introduce the following new variables

$$X := \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta^q) \theta_t^2 dx ds, \quad (3.12)$$

$$Y := \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 (1 + \theta^{2q}) \theta_x^2 dx, \quad (3.13)$$

$$Z := \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 dx. \quad (3.14)$$

Firstly, with the aid of interpolation and embedding theorem, we deduce that

$$|u|^{(0)} \leq C \left(1 + Z^{\frac{3}{8}}\right)$$

by simple calculation.

Lemma 3.9. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have*

$$\max_{[0,1] \times [0,t]} \theta(x, t) \leq C + CY^{\frac{1}{2(q+2n-1)}}, \quad (3.15)$$

for $(x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T)$.

Proof. By the embedding theorem, we deduce that

$$\max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+2n-1}(x, t) \leq C \int_0^1 \theta^{q+2n-1} dx + C_n \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{q+2n-2} |\theta_x| dx,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+2n-1}(x, t) \\ & \leq C \max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+2n-2} \int_0^1 \theta dx + C_n \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{q+2n-2} |\theta_x| dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+2n-1} + C_n \left(\int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{2q} \theta_x^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{4n-4} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So we deduce (3.15). $\square$

Lemma 3.10. *One has*

$$X + Y \leq C \left(1 + Z^{\frac{1}{2}}\right). \quad (3.16)$$

for $0 \leq \beta < q + 9$ and $q \geq 0$.

Proof. We introduce the function as in [18, 22]

$$K(v, \theta) := \int_0^\theta \frac{\kappa(v, \xi)}{v} d\xi.$$

The simple calculation leads to

$$K_t = \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_t + K_v u_x, \quad (3.17)$$

$$K_{xt} = \left(\frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x \right)_t + K_{vv} v_x u_x + K_v u_{xx} + \left(\frac{\kappa}{v} \right)_v v_x \theta_t, \quad (3.18)$$

$$|K_v|, |K_{vv}| \leq C(1 + \theta)^{q+1}. \quad (3.19)$$

Multiplying (2.1c) by K_t and integrating it over $(0, 1) \times (0, t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa e_\theta \theta_t^2}{v} dx d\tau + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x \left(\frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x \right)_t dx d\tau \\ &= - \int_0^t \int_0^1 e_\theta \theta_t K_v u_x dx d\tau - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\theta p_\theta u_x - \frac{\mu}{v} u_x^2 \right) \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_t dx d\tau \\ & - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\theta p_\theta u_x - \frac{\mu}{v} u_x^2 \right) K_v u_x dx d\tau - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x K_{vv} v_x u_x dx d\tau \\ & - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x K_v u_{xx} dx d\tau - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x \left(\frac{\kappa}{v} \right)_v v_x \theta_t dx d\tau \\ & + \lambda \int_0^t \int_0^1 \phi z^m K_t dx d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

In the sequel, we will estimate all terms in (3.20).

Firstly, we have

$$\int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa e_\theta \theta_t^2}{v} dx d\tau \geq C \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta^3)(1 + \theta^q) \theta_t^2 dx d\tau \geq CX, \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x \left(\frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x \right)_t dx d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x \right)^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x \right)^2 (x, 0) dx \\ &\geq C \int_0^1 (1 + \theta^q)^2 \theta_x^2 dx - C \geq CY - C, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

by the definition of (3.12) and (3.13).

Secondly, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 e_\theta \theta_t K_v u_x dx d\tau \right| \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{q+4} |\theta_t u_x| dx d\tau \\
& \leq \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon Y^{\frac{q+8}{2(q+2n-1)}} \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx d\tau \\
& \leq \varepsilon(X + Y) + C,
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\theta p_\theta u_x - \frac{\mu}{v} u_x^2 \right) \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_t dx d\tau \right| \\
& \leq C(T) \int_0^t \int_0^1 [(1 + \theta)^{q+4} |u_x \theta_t| + (1 + \theta)^q |\theta_t| u_x^2] dx d\tau \\
& \leq \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon |(1 + \theta^{q+8})|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx d\tau \\
& + C_\varepsilon |(1 + \theta)^q|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^4 dx d\tau \\
& \leq \varepsilon(X + Y).
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\theta p_\theta u_x - \frac{\mu}{v} u_x^2 \right) K_v u_x dx d\tau \right| \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \int_0^1 [(1 + \theta)^{q+5} u_x^2 + (1 + \theta)^{q+1} |u_x|^3] dx d\tau \\
& \leq C |(1 + \theta^{q+5})|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx d\tau \\
& + |(1 + \theta)^{q+1}|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 |u_x|^3 dx d\tau + C \\
& \leq \varepsilon Y + C.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x K_{vv} v_x u_x dx d\tau \right| \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{2q+1} |\theta_x v_x u_x| dx d\tau \\
& \leq C |u_x|^{(0)} \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} (1 + \theta)^{3q+4} \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq CZ^{\frac{3}{8}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x K_v u_{xx} dx d\tau \right| \\
& \leq C \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} (1 + \theta)^{3q+4} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq CZ^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

At last, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v} \theta_x \left(\frac{\kappa}{v} \right)_v v_x \theta_t dx d\tau \right| \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{2q} |\theta_x v_x \theta_t| dx d\tau \\
& \leq \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \left(\frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \right)^2 \left(\int_0^1 \frac{(1 + \theta)^{3q} v_x^2 v^2}{\kappa^2} dx \right) d\tau \tag{3.23} \\
& \leq \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon |(1 + \theta)^q|^{(0)} \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \left(\frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \right)^2 \left(\int_0^1 v_x^2 dx \right) d\tau \\
& \leq \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon Y^{\frac{q}{2(q+2n-1)}} \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \left(\frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \right)^2 d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

The next goal is to show the estimation of the last term on the right hand

side of the above inequality. From the embedding theorem, it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \left(\frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \right)^2 d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \right)^2 dx + \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \cdot \left(\frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \right)_x \right| dx \right) d\tau, \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \right)^2 dx d\tau + C \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \right)^2 dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \right)_x^2 dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq CY^{\frac{q+2}{2(q+2n-1)}} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} dx d\tau \\
& + CY^{\frac{q+2}{4(q+2n-1)}} \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa \theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\kappa \theta_x}{v} \right)_x^2 dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq CY^{\frac{q+2}{2(q+2n-1)}} + CY^{\frac{q+2}{4(q+2n-1)}} \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 (e_\theta^2 \theta_t^2 + \theta^2 p_\theta^2 u_x^2 + u_x^4 + \phi^2 z^{2m}) dx ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

In the following, we derive the estimations of all terms of the right hand side in (3.24).

Firstly, from (3.12) and (3.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{q+2} e_\theta^2 \theta_t^2 dx d\tau \\
& \leq C |(1 + \theta)^8|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^q \theta_t^2 dx d\tau \\
& \leq C |(1 + \theta)^8|^{(0)} X \\
& \leq C \left(X + Y^{\frac{8}{2(q+2n-1)}} X \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, recalling (3.5) and (3.15), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{q+2} \theta^2 p_\theta^2 u_x^2 dx d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{q+10} u_x^2 dx d\tau \\
& \leq C \left(1 + Y^{\frac{q+10}{2(q+2n-1)}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{q+2} u_x^4 dx d\tau \\
& \leq C |(1 + \theta)^{q+2}|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^4 dx d\tau \\
& \leq C \left(1 + Y^{\frac{q+2}{2(q+2n-1)}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

At the same time, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta)^{q+2} \phi^2 z^{2m} dx d\tau \\
& \leq C |(1 + \theta)^{q+2+2\beta}|^{(0)} \\
& \leq CY^{\frac{q+2+2\beta}{2(q+2n-1)}} + C,
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y^{\frac{q}{2(q+2n-1)}} \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \left(\frac{k}{v} \theta_x \right)^2 d\tau \\
& \leq CY^{\frac{q+1}{q+2n-1}} + CY^{\frac{3q+2}{4(q+2n-1)}} \left(1 + Y^{\frac{8}{4(q+2n-1)}} X^{\frac{1}{2}} + X^{\frac{1}{2}} + Y^{\frac{q+10}{4(q+2n-1)}} + Y^{\frac{q+2+2\beta}{4(q+2n-1)}} \right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon(X + Y) + C,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.23) leads to

$$\left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{k}{v} \theta_x \left(\frac{k}{v} \right)_v v_x \theta_t dx d\tau \right| \leq \varepsilon(X + Y) + C. \quad (3.25)$$

Finally, the term $\lambda \int_0^t \int_0^1 \phi z^m K_t dx d\tau$ gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_0^t \int_0^1 \phi z^m K_t dx d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \int_0^1 \phi z^m k |\theta_t| dx d\tau + C \int_0^t \int_0^1 \theta^{q+1} |u_x| \phi z^m dx d\tau \\
& \leq \varepsilon X + |(1 + \theta)^{q+\beta}|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \phi z^{2m} dx d\tau + C |\theta^{q+\beta+1}|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 |u_x| dx d\tau \\
& \leq \varepsilon X + CY^{\frac{q+\beta+1}{2(q+2n-1)}} \\
& \leq \varepsilon(X + Y) + C.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Collecting (3.20)–(3.26), we deduce (3.16). $\square$

Lemma 3.11. *We have*

$$\int_0^1 (u_x^2 + \theta_x^2 + u_{xx}^2 + u_t^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 (\theta_t^2 + u_{xt}^2) dx d\tau \leq C(T),$$

and

$$|u_x|^{(0)} + |u|^{(0)} + |\theta|^{(0)} \leq C(T).$$

Proof. We differentiate (2.1b) by t and then multiply the equality by u_t to obtain by integrating it over $[0, 1]$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \frac{u_t^2}{2} dx + \mu \int_0^1 \frac{u_{xt}^2}{v} dx = \int_0^1 \left(p_t u_{xt} + \frac{\mu}{v^2} u_x^2 u_{xt} \right) dx.$$

Integrating it over $[0, t]$ and using the Young inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 u_t^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_{xt}^2 dx ds \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^t \int_0^1 (p_t^2 + u_x^4) dx d\tau \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1 + \theta^6) \theta_t^2 dx d\tau + C |\theta^2|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx d\tau \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_x^4 dx d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 u_t^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_{xt}^2 dx ds \\ & \leq C \left(1 + X + Y^{\frac{3}{q+2n-1}} X + Y^{\frac{1}{q+2n-1}} \right) \\ & \leq C \left(1 + Z^{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{q+2n+2}{q+2n-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the momentum equation (2.1b) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 dx &\leq C + C \int_0^1 (u_t^2 + p_x^2 + u_x^2 v_x^2) dx \\
&\leq C \left(1 + \int_0^1 u_t^2 dx + \int_0^1 (1 + \theta^6) \theta_x^2 dx + \left(|\theta^2|^{(0)} + |u_x^2|^{(0)} \right) \int_0^1 v_x^2 dx \right) \\
&\leq C \left(1 + Z^{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{q+2n+2}{q+2n-1}} + Z^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \\
&\leq C \left(1 + Z^{\frac{3}{4}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from this that

$$Z \leq C \left(1 + Z^{\frac{3}{4}} \right),$$

which implies the boundedness of Z by Young inequality when $q \geq 0$ and n is sufficiently large. So are X and Y by (3.16). Furthermore, it holds for $|u_x|^{(0)}$, $|\theta|^{(0)}$, $\int_0^1 (\theta_x^2 + u_t^2 + u_{xx}^2) dx$ and $\int_0^t \int_0^1 (\theta_t^2 + u_{xt}^2) dx d\tau$. so is u by the embedding theorem. This is complete. $\square$

With the aid of the above a priori estimates, we further deduce a priori estimates of higher derivatives of (ρ, u, θ, z) which is analogous to the reference [22], and then we omit its proofs for brevity.

Lemma 3.12. *It satisfies when $0 \leq \beta < q + 9$ and $q \geq 0$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(x, t) &\geq C, \\
\int_0^1 (z_x^2 + z_{xx}^2 + z_t^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 z_{xt}^2 dx d\tau &\leq C, \\
\int_0^1 (\theta_{xx}^2 + \theta_{xt}^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \theta_{xt}^2 dx d\tau &\leq C,
\end{aligned}$$

For the Hölder estimation of global solutions, we refer to [22] for detailed processes. Thus, the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

As mentioned in Remark 2.2, the same conclusion are also satisfied for the external force $p_e \leq 0$ on the free boundaries provided that we obtain a priori estimates of specific volume, see the Appendix. With Lemma 3.13 in hand, we can get the same a priori estimates of (v, u, θ, z) .

Appendix

Lemma 3.13. *If $p_e \leq 0$, then it has*

$$\int_0^1 v(x, t) dx \leq C(T),$$

for $0 < t \leq T$.

Proof. It is derived from integrating (2.1b) over $[0, x]$,

$$\int_0^x u_t dy = -p + \frac{\mu u_x}{v} - G\left(\frac{x(x-1)}{2}\right) + p_e.$$

which implies by multiplying v and integrating with respect to x and t that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \int_0^1 v dx + \frac{G}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 x(1-x) v dx ds \\ &= \mu \int_0^1 v_0 dx - p_e \int_0^t \int_0^1 v dx ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 v p dx ds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 v \left(\int_0^x u_t dy \right) dx ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Obviously, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_0^1 v p dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(R\theta + \frac{a}{3} v \theta^4 \right) dx ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \int_0^1 e dx ds \\ &\leq C(T) - C p_e \int_0^t \int_0^1 v dx ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

On the other hand, we get from (2.1a) by integration

$$v(x, t) = v_0(x) + \int_0^t u_x(x, s) ds,$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_0^1 v \left(\int_0^x u_t dy \right) dx ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(v_0(x) + \int_0^t u_x(x, s) ds \right) \left(\int_0^x u dy \right) dx \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 u^2 dx ds - \int_0^1 v_0(x) \left(\int_0^x u_0(y) dy \right) dx \\
&= \int_0^1 v_0(x) \left(\int_0^x (u - u_0(y)) dy \right) dx + \int_0^t \int_0^1 u^2 dx ds \\
&- \int_0^1 u(x, t) \left(\int_0^t u(x, s) ds \right) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

by integrating by parts. Using Young inequality and initial conditions, we get from (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_0^1 v \left(\int_0^x u_t dy \right) dx ds \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 u^2 dx + C_\varepsilon \int_0^t \int_0^1 u^2 dx ds + C_\varepsilon \\
&\leq -\varepsilon p_e \int_0^1 v dx - C_\varepsilon p_e \int_0^t \int_0^1 v dx ds + C_\varepsilon(T).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Collecting (3.27)–(3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mu + \varepsilon p_e) \int_0^1 v dx + \frac{G}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 x(1-x) v dx ds \\
&\leq -C_\varepsilon p_e \int_0^t \int_0^1 v dx ds + C_\varepsilon(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing sufficiently small ε and by Gronwall inequality, one obtains the desired result for $p_e \leq 0$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China (NNSFC-10971234, NNSFC-10962137, NNSFC-11001278), China Post-

doctoral Science Foundation funded project (NO. 20090450191), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong (NO. 9451027501002564) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

References

- [1] A. Bressan, Global solutions for the one dimensional equations of a viscous reactive gas, *Bollettino U.M.I.*, **5** (1986) 291–308.
- [2] J. Bebernes and A. Bressan, Thermal behavior for a confined reactive gas, *J. Differential Equations*, **44** (1982) 118–133.
- [3] J. Bebernes and A. Bressan, Global a priori estimates for a viscous reactive gas, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb.*, **101A** (1985) 321–333.
- [4] G.Q. Chen, Global solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations for a reacting mixture, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **23** (1992) 609–634.
- [5] G.Q. Chen, D. Hoff and K. Trivisa, Global solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with large discontinuous initial data, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **25** (2000) 2233–2257.
- [6] G.Q. Chen, D. Hoff and K. Trivisa, On the Navier-Stokes equations for exothermically reacting compressible fluids, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica.*, **18** (2002) 15–36.
- [7] G.Q. Chen, D. Hoff and K. Trivisa, Global solution to a model for exothermically reacting compressible flows with large discontinuous data, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **166** (2003) 321–358.
- [8] G.Q. Chen and D. H. Wagner, Global entropy solutions to exothermically reacting, compressible Euler equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **191** (2003) 277–322.
- [9] C.M. Dafermos and L. Hisao, Global smooth thermomechanical processes in one-dimensional thermoviscoelasticity, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **6** (1982) 435–454.
- [10] D. Donatelli and K. Trivisa, On the motion of a viscous compressible radiative reacting gas, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **265** (2006) 463–491.

- [11] D. Donatelli and K. Trivisa, A multidimensional model for the combustion of compressible fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **185** (2007) 379–408.
- [12] B. Ducomet, Some asymptotics for a reactive Navier-Stokes-Poisson system, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **9** (1999) 1039–1076.
- [13] B. Ducomet, A model of thermal dissipation for a one-dimensional viscous reactive and radiative gas, *Math. Mech. Appl. Sci.*, **22** (1999) 1323–1349.
- [14] B. Ducomet, Global existence for a nuclear fluid in one dimension: the $T > 0$ case, *Appl. Math.*, **47** (2002) 45–75.
- [15] B. Ducomet and A. Zlotnik, On the large-time behavior of 1D radiative and reactive viscous flows for higher-order kinetics, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **63** (2005) 1011–1033.
- [16] B. Ducomet and A. Zlotnik, Lyapunov functional method for 1D radiative and reactive viscous gas dynamics, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **177** (2005) 185–229.
- [17] B. Guo and P. Zhu, Asymptotic behavior of the solution to the system for a viscous reactive gas, *J. Differential Equations*, **155** (1999) 177–202.
- [18] B. Kawohl, Global existence of large solutions to initial boundary value problems for a viscous, heat-conducting, one-dimensional real gas, *J. Differential Equations*, **58** (1985) 76–103.
- [19] S. Jiang, On initial boundary value problem for a viscous, heat-conducting, one-dimensional real gas, *J. Differential Equations*, **110** (1994) 157–181.
- [20] Yuming Qin, Guli Hu and Taige Wang, Global smooth solutions for the compressible viscous and heat-conductive gas, to appear in *Quarterly Applied Mathematics*.
- [21] P. Secchi, On the motion of gaseous stars in the presence of radiation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **15** (1990) 185–204.

- [22] M. Umehara and A. Tani, Global solution to the one-dimensional equations for a self-gravitating viscous radiative and reactive gas, *J. Differential Equations*, **234** (2007) 439–463.
- [23] M. Umehara and A. Tani, Temporally global solutions to the equations for a spherically symmetric viscous radiative and reactive gas over the rigid core, *Analysis and Applications*, **6** (2008) 1–29.
- [24] S. Yanagi, Asymptotic stability of the solutions to a full one-dimensional system of heat-conducting reactive compressible viscous gas, *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.*, **15** (1998) 423–442.
- [25] Wen Zhang and Jianwen Zhang, On the growth condition of heat-conductivity in 1-D radiative and reactive viscous gas dynamics, preprint.
- [26] Ya.B. Zel’dovich and Yu.P. Raiser, *Physics of shock waves and high-temperature hydrodynamic phenomena*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola,